UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,708	06/17/2008	Luciano Leonini	1811-101	3698
24106 EGBERT LAW	7590 11/14/200 ' OFFICES		EXAMINER	
	REET, 7TH FLOOR		FABIAN-KOVACS, ARPAD	
HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/577,708	LEONINI, LUCIANO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Árpád Fábián-Kovács	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ma</u>	av 2006						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	/ <del></del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
<u> </u>							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/14/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: stemmer 6' disclosed in starting with ¶50. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### **Specification**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 11, ¶56, last line "Figure 11)" should be – Figure 11 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

In re cl. 2: in the phrase "**other** undesirable waste" the word "other" is indefinite, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim(s):

cl. 2: "said rough harvest."

In re cl. 16: "Harvesting machine" is confusing, it is unclear if the applicant refers back to the "harvesting machine" recited in the preamble of the parent claim.

In re cl. 17: it is unclear what the "thereof" refers to; the second recitation of "harvest collection tipping bowls" is confusing, if it is the same as in claim 16, than either "the" or "said" should be used.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garza, Jr (5480353).

cl. 2: de-stemming device comprising:

extractor system (conveyor belt 14 or 64);

stemmer (drum with fingers 60);

- cl. 10: the extractor system (64) installed parallel to the supply direction & at angle (see fig 6);
- cl. 11: the stemmer is perpendicular to the extractor (see fig 6);

cl. 12-13: transporting means / auger (belt 14, see fig 7) from an outlet of the extractor (64)

Page 4

to an inlet of the stemmer;

cl. 14: the extractor system & stemmer placed one after another in a horizontal or generally

horizontal position (see fig 6-7).

As applied to claim(s) 1, in view of the structure disclosed/taught by Garza, the **method** of

operating/using the device is inherent since it is the normal and logical manner in which the

device is used.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim(s) 3-6 is/are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Claims 7-9, 15-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See form 892.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,708 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián-Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Árpád Fábián-Kovács/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671